

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/950,902	10/15/1997	YOSHIHIDE HAGIWARA	S-2418	9924
75	90 09/29/2003			
SHERMAN & SHALLOWAY 413 NORTH WASHINGTON STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			SHERRER, CUR	SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	08/950,902	HAGIWARA, YOSHIHIDE				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Curtis E. Sherrer	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sh et with the	correspond nce address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07/6</u>	<u>09/03</u> .	•				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	•	•				
4) Claim(s) 1,3,4,10-12 and 17 is/are pending in	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,10-12 and 17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	ation No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	e(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro						
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 3-4, 10-12 and 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. With the insertion of the phrase "consisting essentially of," applicant asserts that this phrase excludes "any other type of starting material other than those recited in the specification." Applicant has not pointed to where in the specification there is antecedent basis for said exclusions. Any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure. See *Ex parte Grasselli*, 231 USPQ 393 (Bd. App. 1983), *aff'd mem.*, 738 F.2d 453 (Fed. Cir. 1984). Also see MPEP 2173.05(I.).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no antecedent basis for the phrase "the waste coffee residue."

Art Unit: 1761

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-4, 10, 12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Papazian in view of Rizzi *et al.* (U.S. Pat. No. 5,328,708)("Rizzi") for the reasons set forth in the last Office Action.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Papazian in view of Rizzi and in further view of Suzuki (U.S. Pat. No. 3,845,220) for the reasons set forth in the last Office Action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 07/09/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant again argues that there is no motivation to combine the teachings of the prior art. Applicant asserts that the prior art does not recognize that extraction residue from roasted coffee "could also be revived to make flavorful and aromatic coffee beverage. In response to applicant's argument, the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when

Art Unit: 1761

the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

With regard to the new limitations placed upon the claims by the insertion of the phrase "consisting essentially of," applicant asserts that this phrase excludes "any other type of starting material other than those recited in the specification." Applicant does not point out which starting material used in the cited prior art would then be excluded. Therefore the prior art rejections are maintained.

With regard to the second obviousness rejection, applicant makes reference to Spencer et al. This prior art is not part of the current rejection and therefore not pertinent to obviating the instant rejection.

Applicant appears to assert unexpected results are obtained by the instant invention because they start with spent material containing no coffee flavor and produce a product with coffee flavor. They look to the examples found in the specification to support this assertion. A review of the examples does not clearly show that the beginning spent coffee material contained no coffee flavor. Further, the examples do not provide any specific data as to how much flavor is produced. Further, the claims do not require that the spent material be devoid of flavor.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1761

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis E. Sherrer whose telephone number is 703-308-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 8AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Curtis E. Sherrer Primary Examiner